

De Minister van Justitie en Veiligheid.
Mevr. D. (Dilan) Yeşilgöz-Zegerius.
Postbus 20301.
2500 EH Den Haag.

Afz.: <sender>.
<address sender>.
<place sender>.

Dear Minister,

21 september 2022.

I write to the Dutch Minister of Justice and Security in the most popular international language. In doing so, among other things, it serves the (legal) public control. You have repeatedly failed to reply to letters containing information addressed to the minister in person. Because of this, I'm notifying you that an open message has been released at URL <url>. For your comfort, a copy is below and its continuation.

Good day Minister of Justice and Security (JenV), Mrs. D. Yeşilgöz-Zegerius. I have written to you by post. You know that this open message (addressed to you) is released here. Partly because of your recent HJ Schoo lecture "***Do what it takes to protect our democratic constitutional state***". This lecture is propaganda from the minister, spokesman for his civil service and the clique (open message 28-03-2022), and a public statement that each hardly understands anything and is hardly aware of this subject. Against the Minister of JenV, currently in the official person of Mrs. D. Yeşilgöz-Zegerius, criminal prosecution has been requested from the ICC in The Hague (URL "<http://www.publicscrutiny.nl/psf01/SPECIAL4/20220627%20ICC%20NL.pdf>"). This alone is not enough and I will help you to understand what democracy is (Article 21, paragraph 3 UN Declaration) and also what a constitutional state is (Article 29, UN Declaration).

The minister says, also to me: "*Mind yourself. Take nothing for granted. Do not be tolerant of what we must not tolerate. Speak out.*". I did and I do this, both without as well as in and with the legal public scrutiny. This is the execution of democracy AFTER legislation. More on this later.

Earlier is addressed to the competent authority written and informed. Each know about the open messages below, which can be read. None answered or answers. Article 5 of the Constitution gives each individual citizen the implicit right, as that legislator still wills and means by the article, of access to the competent authority and equally implicitly that it answers properly and completely.

The minister is undeniably certain that officials, especially from the Ministry of JenV, intercept my correspondence. Doing so is combats radically against Article 12, UN Declaration, which establishes the right to secrecy of correspondence. In particular, but not only, the government and the civil service willfully exclude themselves; of facts and knowledge about the injustice they inflicted on the civilian population. More on this later.

The minister speeches about freedom of expression. But this freedom does not exist if one is not heard and listened (exclude oneself). The minister imagines that she lives in a country ruled by Human Rights. THEN prevails the UN Declaration of which all articles are in harmony with each other (unity). This also is the execution of Article 30. ALL human rights prevail at the same time and these prevail above all. More on this later. This open message continues, to also serve legal public scrutiny, at www.publicscrutiny.nl/psf01/SPECIAL4/20220921%20MinJenV%20ENG.pdf. With regards.

Continuation

Against the Minister of JenV, currently in the official person of Mrs. D. Yeşilgöz-Zegerius, has been requested to prosecute for war crimes.

The Minister destroyed the fundamental right of Article 5 and does not want to hear individual citizens, nor does he want to listen to us. Then there is nothing left for civilians to do but enforce these 'peace negotiations' and 'peace treaties' through war. It is indisputable that these talks and treaties will come during or after war. So these can equally well be waged and concluded before a war, but are hindered by the minister, in a war-free state, until beyond the extreme into a state of war. Provoking plus driving to and into a war are war crimes.

The fact that being provoked is observable through demonstrations or rebellion, for example, does not detract from the war-criminality of the provoking. It is irreparable, empirical evidence that democracy does not exist.

Threats to democracy and the constitutional state

In the recent HJ Schoo lecture, the minister said, also to me: *"We need people with courage and passion right now. The courage to face the threats to our democratic constitutional state."* (URL "<https://www.ewmagazine.nl/nederland/grond/2022/09/lees-hier-de-nieuwe-hj-schoo-lezing-van-dilan-yesilgoz- Terug-901019/>").

Threat to democracy

Democracy is one of the Human Rights.

Quote Article 21, paragraph 3 UN Declaration: "The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections, which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures."

This quote MUST be in harmony with all other articles and therefore also paragraph 1.

Quote: "Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives."

This establishes that the "real election" is about representative(s) of everyone. This excludes that the whole of elected representatives represents only a selection of the people. But yet this happens; Even the minister, as a former parliamentarian, did not want, through her intervening servants, to get involved and meddle in individual cases. Also, Article 21 UN Declaration excludes that an elected representative will take part in government in an own minded or introverted manner; whether or not to propagating do or refrain so in favour for the Dutch people.

That this execution of democracy BEFORE legislation, the representation of the entire people, does not exist does the minister know for sure due to the many violence, the many demonstrations and the rebellion. The minister continues to suppress violence and rebellion plus the access to authority remains to be kept barred so that everyone must restore democracy through all sorts of violence or rebellion.

Only AFTER legislation exists or functions the rule of law.

The compulsory obligation of a public hearing and public trial (Articles 10 and 11 UN Declaration) does the author (still) wants and means for serving the (legal) public scrutiny on that/each (single or multiple) tribunal. This also is the execution of Article 8. The (legal) public scrutiny judges once for all equal cases. This also is execution of Article 7.

That this execution of democracy AFTER legislation, by everyone in the (legal) public scrutiny, does not exist does the minister know for sure due to the enormous amount of discriminatory judgments of a large number of competing tribunals. Thus does the minister

also know for sure that tribunals do not respect and apply each other's judgments. Moreover, does the minister persist in destroying democracy by keeping the (legal) public scrutiny without an effective remedy (Article 13 ECHR).

Maintaining all kinds of injustices plus continuing to maintain this chaos (evident for employment of civil servants) combats radically against Article 28 UN Declaration that establishes order in peace. Maintaining injustice and chaos leaves nothing else open to citizens but to enforce the restoration of democracy through war. Provoking or enforcing war is a war crime.

Threat to constitutional state

A right arises almost exclusively from the law, as that legislator (still) wants and means (Article 8 UN Declaration). Even the implicit right that can only be clarified by real interpretation. So that, repeated here, only AFTER legislation does the rule of law exist and function (Articles 6, 7, 8, 11, 12 and 29 UN Declaration). The protection of the tribunal is independent of the executive branch but impossible independent of the legislature of the law in question. The same tribunal is impartial of the disputing parties but impossibly impartial of all equal cases (Articles 10 and 7 UN Declaration).

A recent decision by a Dutch tribunal declares the State and its officials (i.e. including all judicial officials charged with judging) immune from criminal law, because the State's (criminal) actions are in the public interest. (URL "<https://uitspraak.rechtspraak.nl/inziendocument?id=ECLI:NL:GHDHA:2022:1301>"). This ruling and this judgment combats radically against Article 8.

There is no law that grants a right like this to the State, this is an execution of Article 30. There DOES exist a law that protects civil citizens against these criminal tribunals: the "General Provisions Act" (in Dutch: Wet algemene bepalingen). There is and was no tribunal that condemns its competing tribunal and restores the protection of civilians. The (legal) public scrutiny has independently and impartially condemned the verdict plus the tribunals. This is execution of Article 8. The Dutch tribunals combats radically against Article 30. In the wake of this, the Dutch tribunals combat radically against Articles 7 and 8 and their protection with Article 13 ECHR. Repeated here: the minister maintains to keep the (legal) public scrutiny without an effective remedy. Then nothing is left open but to restore this democracy through war. Provoking war is a war crime.

Round-up

The personal size of "the State"

Indisputably established, not contested by a competing tribunal or by the civil service, is stated that the tribunal determines (in consideration 6.5) that the State extends to the civil servants. Both those who instruct or give direction and to officials who cannot be regarded as an instructor or de facto director. So also all others whose actions must be imputed to the State. This is the clique (URL <http://www.publicscrutiny.nl/psf01/Garantiebewijs%20ENG.pdf>, page 6) including the, by the clique, discriminatingly privileged boards.

Criminal immunity of all civil servants

The tribunal establishes (in consideration 6.5) that the State is immune from criminal law. So ALL officials and servants are immune. This, repeated here, combats radically against Article 30 UN Declaration.

Unlimited serious criminality by "the State"

The tribunal furthermore determines that "the actions of the State" (i.e. of all civil officers and servants, in the event of criminal offences committed) "must be regarded

(thus without evidence to the contrary) to serve the public interest ". This determination in a judgment of a tribunal is the safe-conduct for all kinds of unlimitedly varied and unlimitedly profound crimes by the State.

The breed of "Übermensch"

By putting itself outside the boundaries of the UN Declaration, thereby simultaneously outside the Constitution or the law (Article 8 UN Declaration), "the State" in the persons of ALL officials and servants (gathered in the clique) has elevated itself highly to the race of "Übermensch". All people in the world know about this race's their hideous inhumanity. This inhumanity is mainly directed against the civilian population.

Punishability of the minister

The tribunal does not use the law as the basis, but "the system of political control and ministerial responsibility" that does not allow "that the State itself could be prosecuted for its actions." In doing so, also the tribunal admits undisputedly that the minister is accountable for the war crimes clarified above.

The Sovereignty of Public Scrutiny

At the same time, the tribunal confesses the (legal) public scrutiny for sovereign the only independent and only impartial protection for the civilian population from "the State" or ALL officials. The real tribunal is the opportunity of "the State", ie the rule of law, to prevent intervention by the (legal) public scrutiny.

Repeated here; By maintaining to keep (legal) public scrutiny without an effective remedy, the minister leaves open to everyone who stands up for public scrutiny nothing else but being provoked and forced into war. This is a war crime.

Completely out of control or leadership gone adrifted civil servants

The above-mentioned judgment and verdict of a tribunal was made because of a filed charge of criminal offenses by the government against its officials and servants who carried out the policy of the government or department. The filed charge is empirical evidence of the completely broken loose officialdom away of their leadership or government. The government no longer rules, but the civil service and with an inhumane execution.

Renewed opportunity

I offer the minister, also standing up for the (legal) public scrutiny, 3 months from the date of this letter, to provide to everyone in or of the (legal) public scrutiny the effective remedy in a by "the State" untouchable form and execution.

Furthermore, I offer the same 3 months to repair all crimes effectively and untouchable. Under the regime of Article 8 of the "Charter of the Public Scrutiny" (URL <http://www.publicscrutiny.nl>). Failure to do so will lead to a new report to, among others, the ICC in The Hague.

I like to hear more soon, and invite to genuine a public hearing.
Kind regards,

<signature sender>

<sender>